From: <u>Sanchez, Carlos</u>

To: Tzhone, Stephen; Khoury, Ghassan; Rauscher, Jon

Cc: <u>Villarreal, Chris</u>

Subject: RE: Draft discussion of principal threat waste at the Arkwood AR site

Date: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 3:26:27 PM

I do not believe that the soils contaminated with Dixon should be considered Principal Threat Waste. I believe that some in HQs also agree that the soils are not PTW.

There is different criteria that is used in declaring Source Materials as PTW. One of them is toxicity, but there is other criteria.

Stephen,

I believe that we were going to get clarification from HQs. We (Region 6) should wait until we hear from HQs. Otherwise, I would say that it is not PTW. CAS

Carlos A. Sanchez
Chief, Superfund AR/TX Section
214-665-8507
Sanchez.carlos@epa.gov

From: Tzhone, Stephen

Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 10:55 AM **To:** Khoury, Ghassan; Rauscher, Jon **Cc:** Sanchez, Carlos; Villarreal, Chris

Subject: RE: Draft discussion of principal threat waste at the Arkwood AR site

Jon, Ghassan: Send by Monday June 8.

We need to resolve this so we can move forward with our final soil and gw comments to the PRPs.

From: Khoury, Ghassan

Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 10:40 AM **To:** Tzhone, Stephen; Rauscher, Jon **Cc:** Sanchez, Carlos; Villarreal, Chris

Subject: RE: Draft discussion of principal threat waste at the Arkwood AR site

When do you need a response? It is principal threat waste based on toxicity. So I am trying to look for some documents related to the toxicity of dioxin.

From: Tzhone, Stephen

Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 10:14 AM **To:** Rauscher, Jon; Khoury, Ghassan **Cc:** Sanchez, Carlos; Villarreal, Chris



Subject: FW: Draft discussion of principal threat waste at the Arkwood AR site

Any comments on the attachment from the email I forwarded yesterday?

From: Tzhone, Stephen

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 4:03 PM

To: Berg, Marlene

Subject: RE: Five Year Coordinator for the Remedial Branch

Yes, I reviewed it with my section chief who wanted the risk assessors to weigh in. It is with them right now.

Thus far, if they agree, we will be summarizing our position with the agreed language and responding back to you and Robin.

From: Berg, Marlene

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2015 3:42 PM

To: Tzhone, Stephen

Subject: Fw: Five Year Coordinator for the Remedial Branch

Steve,

Welcome to being the FYR coordinator for the Remedial Branch! I will be sending you info w/r to FYRs and dioxin.

And, while I am thinking about it, did you receive the write-up on principal threats at Arkwood that I sent you on Thursday?

Marlene